Multiple solutions to a Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg type equation with asymptotically linear term

Benjin Xuan *

Department of Mathematics
University of Science and Technology of China
Universidad Nacional de Colombia
e-mail:wenyuanxbj@yahoo.com

Abstract

In this paper, we study the existence of multiple solutions to a Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg type equation with asymptotically linear term at infinity. In this case, the well-known Ambrosetti-Rabinowtz type condition doesn't hold, hence it is difficult to verify the classical $(PS)_c$ condition. To overcome this difficulty, we use an equivalent version of Cerami's condition, which allows the more general existence result.

Key Words: Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg type equation, asymptotically linear, Ambrosetti-Rabinowtz type condition, Cerami condition

Mathematics Subject Classifications: 35J60.

1 Introduction.

In this paper, we shall investigate the existence of multiple solutions to the following Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg type equation with asymptotically linear term at infinity:

$$\begin{cases}
-\operatorname{div}(|x|^{-ap}|Du|^{p-2}Du) = |x|^{-(a+1)p+c}f(u), \text{ in } \Omega \\
u = 0, \text{ on } \partial\Omega,
\end{cases}$$
(1.1)

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is an open bounded domain with C^1 boundary and $0 \in \Omega$, 1 0 and f(u) satisfies the following conditions:

^{*}Supported by Grant 10101024 and 10371116 from the National Natural Science Foundation of China.

$$(f_1)$$
 $f \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}), f(0) = 0, f(-t) = -f(t)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$;

(f₂)
$$\lim_{t\to 0} \frac{f(t)}{|t|^{p-2}t} = 0;$$

- (f₃) (Asymptotically linear at infinity) $\lim_{|t|\to\infty} \frac{f(t)}{|t|^{p-2}t} = l < \infty;$
- (f'_3) (Subcritical growth) Suppose $\lim_{|t|\to\infty}\frac{f(t)}{t}=\infty$, and there exists some $t\in$

$$[p, p_*]$$
 with $p_* := \frac{(n - (a+1)p + c)p}{n - (a+1)p}$ such that

$$|f(t)| \le C_1 |t|^{p-1} + c_2 |t|^{r-1}$$
 for some $C_1, C_2 > 0$;

- $(f_4) \frac{f(t)}{|t|^{p-2}t}$ is nondecreasing in $t \ge 0$;
- $(\mathbf{f}_5) \lim_{|t| \to \infty} \{ f(t)t pF(t) \} = +\infty.$

For a=0, c=p=2, Brezis and Nirenberg [4] considered the existence of positive solutions of problem (1.1) in the case where f(u) is a lower-order perturbation of the critical nonlinearity. They first showed that lower-order terms can reverse the nonexistence and cause positive solutions to exist. After the pioneering work [4], there are many existence and non-existence results of problem (1.1) in many different cases, e.g., Guedda and Veron [11] considered the quasilinear elliptic equations involving critical Sobolev exponents; Zhu and Yang [14, 15] also considered the quasilinear elliptic equations involving critical Sobolev exponents in bounded or unbounded domains. [1] and [12] considered the combined effects of concave and convex nonlinearities in the semilinear or quasilinear elliptic equations respectively. [10] and [16] considered the existence of multiple solutions to critical quasilinear equations with singular symmetric coefficient or cylindrical symmetric coefficient. All of the above results were based on, among some other structural conditions, the following well-known Ambrosetti-Rabinowtz type condition (cf. [2]), that is, there exists $\alpha > p$ such that for $u \in \mathbb{R}$ and |u| large enough, there holds

$$\alpha F(u) \le u f(u), \tag{1.2}$$

where $F(u) = \int_0^u f(t) dt$. The Ambrosetti-Rabinowtz type condition (1.2) ensures the boundedness of the Palais-Smale sequence of the corresponding energy functional, then combining with other conditions, one can deduced the convergence of the Palais-Smale sequence. By simple calculation, it is easy to show that condition (1.2) implies that

$$F(u) \ge C|u|^{\alpha},$$

for some C>0 and |u| large enough. Noting that $\alpha>p$, condition (1.2) is not satisfied if f(u) is asymptotically linear at infinity, i.e., f(u) satisfies condition (f₃). This causes difficulty in proving the boundedness of the Palais-Smale sequence. Recently, Li and Zhou [13] used an improved Mountain Pass Lemma with the usual Palais-Smale condition replaced by the Cerami weaker compactness condition (C) to obtain the existence of multiple solutions to problem (1.1) in the non-singular case, i.e., a=0, c=p. In this paper, we shall extend the results in [13] to the singular case, i.e., $0 \le a < \frac{n-p}{p}$, c>0.

2 Preliminaries

First, we recall an equivalent version of Cerami's condition as follows (cf. [7]):

Definition 2.1 (Condition (C)) Let X be a Banach space. $E \in C^1(X, \mathbb{R})$ is said to satisfy condition (C) at level $d \in \mathbb{R}$, if the following fact is true: any sequence $\{u_m\} \subset X$, which satisfies

$$E(u_m) \to d \ and \ (1 + ||u_m||) ||E'(u_m)||_{X'} \to 0, \ as \ m \to \infty,$$

possesses a convergent subsequence.

As shown in [3], [7] and [13], under condition (C), there also hold the deformation lemma, Mountain Pass Lemma or saddle point theorem and Symmetric Mountain Pass Lemma. The following version of Symmetric Mountain Pass Lemma is due to Li and Zhou [13]: Let X be an infinite dimensional real Banach space, $E \in C^1(X, \mathbb{R})$, $\hat{A}_0 = \{u \in X : E(u) \geq 0\}$,

 $\Gamma^* = \{h : h(0) = 0, h \text{ is an odd homeomorphism of } X \text{ and } h(B(0,1)) \subset \hat{A}_0\};$

 $\Gamma_m = \{K \subset X : K \text{ is compact, symmetric with respect to the origin,}$ and for any $h \in \Gamma^*$, there holds $\gamma(K \cap h(\partial B(0,1))) \geq m\}$,

where $\gamma(A)$ is the Krasnoselskii genus of A. If $\Gamma_m \neq \emptyset$, define

$$b_m = \inf_{K \subset \Gamma_m} \max_{u \in K} E(u).$$

Lemma 2.2 (Symmetric Mountain Pass Lemma) Let $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_m, \dots$ be linearly independent in X, and $X_i = span\{e_1, \dots e_i\}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, m, \dots$. Suppose that $E \in C^1(X, \mathbb{R})$ satisfies E(0) = 0, E(-u) = E(u), and condition (C) at all level $d \geq 0$. Furthermore, there exists $\rho > 0$, $\alpha > 0$ such that E(u) > 0 in $B(0, \rho) \setminus \{0\}$ and $E|_{\partial B(0, \rho)} \geq \alpha$.

Then, if $X_m \cap \hat{A}_0$ is bounded, then $\Gamma_m \neq \emptyset$ and $b_m \geq \alpha > 0$ is critical. Moreover, if $X_{m+i} \cap \hat{A}_0$ is bounded for all $i = 1, \dots, l$, and

$$b = b_{m+1} = \dots = b_{m+l},$$

then $\gamma(K_b) \geq l$, where $K_b = \{u \in X; E(u) = b, E'(u) = 0\}$. if $X_m \cap \hat{A}_0$ is bounded for all m, then E(u) possesses infinitely many critical values.

To apply Lemma 2.2 to obtain the multiple results of problem (1.1) with $a \ge 0$, we need the following weighted Sobolev-Hardy inequality due to Caffarelli, Kohn and Nirenberg [5], which is called the Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequality. Let $1 . For all <math>u \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, there is a constant $C_{a,b} > 0$ such that

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |x|^{-bq} |u|^q \, dx\right)^{p/q} \le C_{a,b} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |x|^{-ap} |Du|^p \, dx,\tag{2.1}$$

where

$$-\infty < a < \frac{n-p}{p}, \ a \le b \le a+1, \ q = p^*(a,b) = \frac{np}{n-dp}, \ d = 1+a-b.$$
 (2.2)

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is an open bounded domain with C^1 boundary and $0 \in \Omega$, $\mathcal{D}_a^{1,p}(\Omega)$ be the completion of $C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|$ defined by

$$||u|| = \left(\int_{\Omega} |x|^{-ap} |Du|^p dx\right)^{1/p}.$$

From the boundedness of Ω and the standard approximation argument, it is easy to see that (2.1) holds for any $u \in \mathcal{D}_a^{1,p}(\Omega)$ in the sense:

$$\left(\int_{\Omega} |x|^{-\alpha} |u|^t dx\right)^{p/t} \le C \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-ap} |Du|^p dx, \tag{2.3}$$

for $1 \leq t \leq \frac{np}{n-p}$, $\alpha \leq (1+a)t + n(1-\frac{t}{p})$, that is, the embedding $\mathcal{D}_a^{1,p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^t(\Omega,|x|^{-\alpha})$ is continuous, where $L^t(\Omega,|x|^{-\alpha})$ is the weighted L^r space with norm:

$$||u||_{t,\alpha} := ||u||_{L^t(\Omega,|x|^{-\alpha})} = \left(\int_{\Omega} |x|^{-\alpha} |u|^t dx\right)^{1/t}.$$

In fact, we have the following compact embedding result which is an extension of the classical Rellich-Kondrachov compactness theorem (cf. [6] for p=2 and [18] for the general case). For the convenience of readers, we include the proof here.

Theorem 2.3 (Compact embedding theorem) Suppose that $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is an open bounded domain with C^1 boundary and $0 \in \Omega$, $1 , <math>-\infty < a < \frac{n-p}{p}$. The embedding $\mathcal{D}_a^{1,p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^t(\Omega,|x|^{-\alpha})$ is compact if $1 \le t < \frac{np}{n-p}$, $\alpha < (1+a)t + n(1-\frac{t}{p})$.

Proof. The continuity of the embedding is a direct consequence of the Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequality (2.1) or (2.3). To prove the compactness, let $\{u_m\}$ be a bounded sequence in $\mathcal{D}_a^{1,p}(\Omega)$. For any $\rho > 0$ with $B_{\rho}(0) \subset \Omega$ is a ball centered at the origin with radius ρ , there holds $\{u_m\} \subset W^{1,p}(\Omega \setminus B_{\rho}(0))$. Then the classical Rellich-Kondrachov compactness theorem guarantees the existence of a convergent subsequence of $\{u_m\}$ in $L^t(\Omega \setminus B_{\rho}(0))$. By taking a diagonal sequence, we can assume without loss of generality that $\{u_m\}$ converges in $L^t(\Omega \setminus B_{\rho}(0))$ for any $\rho > 0$.

On the other hand, for any $1 \leq t < \frac{np}{n-p}$, there exists a $b \in (a, a+1]$ such that $t < q = p^*(a, b) = \frac{np}{n-dp}$, $d = 1 + a - b \in [0, 1)$. From the Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequality (2.1) or (2.3), $\{u_m\}$ is also bounded in $L^q(\Omega, |x|^{-bq})$. By the Höder inequality, for any $\delta > 0$, there holds

$$\int_{|x|<\delta} |x|^{-\alpha} |u_m - u_j|^t dx \leq \left(\int_{|x|<\delta} |x|^{-(\alpha - bt) \frac{q}{q - t}} dx \right)^{1 - \frac{t}{q}} \times \left(\int_{\Omega} |x|^{-bt} |u_m - u_j|^t dx \right)^{t/q} \\
\leq C \left(\int_0^{\delta} r^{n - 1 - (\alpha - bt) \frac{q}{q - t}} dr \right)^{1 - \frac{t}{q}} \\
= C \delta^{n - (\alpha - bt) \frac{q}{q - t}},$$
(2.4)

where C > 0 is a constant independent of m. Since $\alpha < (1+a)t + n(1-\frac{t}{p})$, there holds $n - (\alpha - bt)\frac{q}{q-t} > 0$. Therefore, for a given $\varepsilon > 0$, we first fix $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\int_{|x|<\delta} |x|^{-\alpha} |u_m - u_j|^t dx \le \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \ \forall \ m, j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then we choose $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\int_{\Omega \setminus B_{\delta}(0)} |x|^{-\alpha} |u_m - u_j|^t dx \le C_{\alpha} \int_{\Omega \setminus B_{\delta}(0)} |u_m - u_j|^t dx \le \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \ \forall \ m, j \ge N,$$

where $C_{\alpha} = \delta^{-\alpha}$ if $\alpha \geq 0$ and $C_{\alpha} = (\operatorname{diam}(\Omega))^{-\alpha}$ if $\alpha < 0$. Thus

$$\int_{\Omega} |x|^{-\alpha} |u_m - u_j|^t dx \le \varepsilon, \ \forall \ m, j \ge N,$$

that is, $\{u_m\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $L^q(\Omega, |x|^{-bq})$.

On $X = \mathcal{D}_a^{1,p}(\Omega)$, we define functional E as

$$E(u) = \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-ap} |Du|^p dx - \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-(a+1)p+c} F(u) dx.$$

By Theorem 2.3 and assumptions (f_1) – (f_3) or (f'_3) , it is easy to show that E is well-defined and of class $C^1(X,\mathbb{R})$, the weak solutions of problem (1.1) is equivalent to the critical points of E. (f_1) implies that 0 is a trivial solution to problem (1.1).

In order to express our main theorem, we also need some results of the eigenvalue problem correspondent to problem (1.1) in [17]. Let us first recall the main results of [17]. Consider the nonlinear eigenvalue problem:

$$\begin{cases}
-\operatorname{div}(|x|^{-ap}|Du|^{p-2}Du) = \lambda|x|^{-(a+1)p+c}|u|^{p-2}u, \text{ in } \Omega \\
u = 0, \text{ on } \partial\Omega,
\end{cases}$$
(2.5)

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is an open bounded domain with C^1 boundary and $0 \in \Omega$, 1 0.

Let us introduce the following functionals in $\mathcal{D}_a^{1,p}(\Omega)$:

$$\Phi(u) := \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-ap} |Du|^p dx$$
, and $J(u) := \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-(a+1)p+c} |u|^p dx$.

For c > 0, J is well-defined. Furthermore, $\Phi, J \in C^1(\mathcal{D}_a^{1,p}(\Omega), \mathbb{R})$, and a real value λ is an eigenvalue of problem (2.5) if and only if there exists $u \in \mathcal{D}_a^{1,p}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\Phi'(u) = \lambda J'(u)$. At this point, let us introduce set

$$\mathcal{M} := \{ u \in \mathcal{D}_a^{1,p}(\Omega) : J(u) = 1 \}.$$

Then $\mathcal{M} \neq \emptyset$ and \mathcal{M} is a C^1 manifold in $\mathcal{D}_a^{1,p}(\Omega)$. It follows from the standard Lagrange multiples arguments that eigenvalues of (2.5) correspond to critical values of $\Phi|_{\mathcal{M}}$. From Theorem 2.3, Φ satisfies the (PS) condition on \mathcal{M} . Thus a sequence of critical values of $\Phi|_{\mathcal{M}}$ comes from the Ljusternik-Schnirelman critical point theory on C^1 manifolds. Let $\gamma(A)$ denote the Krasnoselski's genus on $\mathcal{D}_a^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, set

$$\Gamma_k := \{A \subset \mathcal{M} : A \text{ is compact, symmetric and } \gamma(A) \ge k\}.$$

Then values

$$\lambda_k := \inf_{A \in \Gamma_k} \max_{u \in A} \Phi(u) \tag{2.6}$$

are critical values and hence are eigenvalues of problem (2.5). Moreover, $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_k \leq \cdots \to +\infty$.

One can also define another sequence of critical values minimaxing Φ along a smaller family of symmetric subsets of \mathcal{M} . Let us denote by S^k the unit sphere of \mathbb{R}^{k+1} and

$$\mathcal{O}(S^k, \mathcal{M}) := \{ h \in C(S^k, \mathcal{M}) : h \text{ is odd} \}.$$

Then for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the value

$$\mu_k := \inf_{h \in \mathcal{O}(S^{k-1}, \mathcal{M})} \max_{t \in S^{k-1}} \Phi(h(t))$$
 (2.7)

is an eigenvalue of (2.5). Moreover $\lambda_k \leq \mu_k$. This new sequence of eigenvalues was first introduced by [9] and later used in [8] and [7] for a = 0, c = p.

3 Main theorem

In this section, we shall prove the following main theorem:

Theorem 3.1 Assume that f satisfies assumptions (f_1) - (f_3) , and λ_k is given by (2.6). Then the following hold:

- (i) If $l \in (\lambda_k, +\infty)$ is not an eigenvalue of problem (2.5), then problem (1.1) has at least k pairs of nontrivial solutions in X;
- (ii) Suppose that assumptions (f_5) is satisfied, then the conclusion of (i) holds even if l is an eigenvalue of problem (2.5);
- (iii) If $l = +\infty$, and assumptions (f_3) - (f_4) hold, then problem (1.1) has infinitely many nontrivial solutions.

We shall prove the above main theorem by verifying the assumptions in the Symmetric Mountain Pass Lemma-Lemma 2.2. First, we prove some properties of functional E.

Lemma 3.2 If assumptions (f_1) and (f_4) hold, then any sequence $\{u_m\} \subset X$ with $\langle E'(u_m), u_m \rangle \to 0$ as $m \to \infty$ possesses a subsequence, still denoted by $\{u_m\}$, such that

$$E(tu_m) \le \frac{1+t^p}{mp} + E(u_m) \tag{3.1}$$

holds for all $t \in \mathbb{R}, m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. 1. From assumption $\langle E'(u_m), u_m \rangle \to 0$ as $m \to \infty$, up to a subsequence, for $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we may assume that

$$-\frac{1}{m} \le \langle E'(u_m), u_m \rangle = \|u_m\|_X^p - \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-(a+1)p+c} f(u_m) u_m \, dx \le \frac{1}{m}. \tag{3.2}$$

2. For any $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, there holds

$$E(tu_m) \le \frac{t^p}{mp} + \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-(a+1)p+c} \{ \frac{1}{p} f(u_m) u_m - F(u_m) \} dx.$$
 (3.3)

In fact, for any $t > 0, m \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$h(t) = \frac{1}{p}t^p f(u_m)u_m - F(tu_m).$$

Then, from assumptions (f_1) and (f_4) , a simple calculation implies that

$$h'(t) = |t|^{p-2}tf(u_m)u_m - f(tu_m)u_m$$

$$= t^{p-2}tu_m^p \left(\frac{f(u_m)}{|u_m|^{p-2}u_m} - \frac{f(tu_m)}{t^{p-2}t|u_m|^{p-2}u_m}\right)$$

$$= \begin{cases} \ge 0, & \text{for } 0 < t \le 1\\ \le 0, & \text{for } t \ge 1 \end{cases}$$

and

$$h(t) \le h(1)$$
, for all $t > 0$. (3.4)

Therefore, from (3.2) and (3.4), $t > 0, m \in \mathbb{N}$, there holds

$$E(tu_{m}) = \frac{1}{p} t^{p} \|u_{m}\|_{X}^{2} - \int_{\Omega} F(tu_{m}) dx$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{p} t^{p} \{ \frac{1}{m} + \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-(a+1)p+c} f(u_{m}) u_{m} dx \}$$

$$- \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-(a+1)p+c} F(tu_{m}) dx$$

$$\leq \frac{t^{p}}{mp} + \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-(a+1)p+c} \{ \frac{1}{p} t^{p} f(u_{m}) u_{m} - F(tu_{m}) \} dx$$

$$\leq \frac{t^{p}}{mp} + \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-(a+1)p+c} \{ \frac{1}{p} f(u_{m}) u_{m} - F(u_{m}) \} dx.$$

$$(3.5)$$

Combining the oddness of f, (3.5) implies that (3.3) holds for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

3. For any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, there holds

$$\int_{\Omega} |x|^{-(a+1)p+c} \left\{ \frac{1}{p} f(u_m) u_m - F(u_m) \right\} dx \le \frac{1}{mp} + E(u_m). \tag{3.6}$$

In fact, from (3.2), there holds

$$E(u_m) = \frac{1}{p} \|u_m\|_X^p - \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-(a+1)p+c} F(u_m) dx$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{p} \{ -\frac{1}{m} + \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-(a+1)p+c} f(u_m) u_m dx \} - \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-(a+1)p+c} F(u_m) dx,$$

that is, (3.6) holds.

Combining (3.3) and (3.6) proves that (3.1) holds.

Lemma 3.3 Let $d \ge 0$. Assume that f satisfies assumptions (f_1) and (f_2) . Then the following hold:

- i) E satisfies condition (C) at level $d \ge 0$ if assumption (f₃) holds and l is not an eigenvalue of problem (2.5);
- ii) E satisfies condition (C) at level $d \ge 0$ if assumption (f₃) holds, l is an eigenvalue of problem (2.5) and assumption (f₅) holds;
- iii) E satisfies condition (C) at level $d \ge 0$ if assumptions (f_3) and (f_4) hold.

Proof. Suppose that $\{u_m\} \subset X$ is a (C) sequence, that is, as $m \to \infty$, there hold

$$E(u_m) \to d \ge 0 \tag{3.7}$$

and

$$(1 + ||u_m||_X)||E'(u_m)||_{X'} \to 0.$$
(3.8)

It is easy to see that (3.8) implies that as $m \to \infty$, there hold

$$||u_m||_X^p - \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-(a+1)p+c} f(u_m) u_m \, dx = o(1)$$
(3.9)

and

$$\int_{\Omega} |x|^{-ap} |Dw_m|^{p-2} Dw_m \cdot Dv \, dx - \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-(a+1)p+c} f(u_m) v \, dx = o(1), \qquad (3.10)$$

for all $v \in X$, where, and in what follows, o(1) denotes any quantity that tends to zero as $m \to \infty$.

From the compact embedding theorem 2.3 and the fact that f satisfies the subcritical growth condition, to show that E satisfies condition (C) at level $d \geq 0$, it suffices to show the boundedness of (C) sequence $\{u_m\}$ in X for each case.

i). Suppose that (f_3) holds and l is not an eigenvalue of problem (2.5). Suppose, by contradiction, that there exists a subsequence, still denoted by $\{u_m\}$, such that as $m \to \infty$, there holds

$$||u_m|| \to +\infty.$$

Define

$$p_m(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{f(u_m(x))}{|u_m(x)|^{p-2}u_m(x)}, & \text{if } u_m(x) \neq 0\\ 0, & \text{if } u_m(x) = 0. \end{cases}$$
(3.11)

Then from assumptions (f_1) – (f_3) , there exists M > 0 such that

$$0 \le p_m(x) \le M, \ \forall x \in \Omega. \tag{3.12}$$

Let

$$w_m = \frac{u_m}{\|u_m\|}.$$

Obviously, $\{w_m\}$ is bounded in X. Then from Lemma 2.3, without loss of generality, assume that there exists $w \in X$ such that as $m \to \infty$, there hold

$$w_m \rightharpoonup w$$
 weakly in X , (3.13)

$$w_m \to w \text{ a.e. in } \Omega$$
 (3.14)

and

$$w_m \to w \text{ strongly in } L^r(\Omega, |x|^{-(a+1)p+c}), \text{ if } 1 \le r < p_*.$$
 (3.15)

It is easy to show that $w \not\equiv 0$. In fact, if $w \equiv 0$, then from (3.9), (3.12), (3.15) and the definitions of p_m and w_m , as $m \to \infty$, there holds

$$1 = ||w_m|| = \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-(a+1)p+c} p_m |w_m|^p dx + o(1)$$

$$\leq M \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-(a+1)p+c} |w_m|^p dx + o(1) \to 0,$$

which is a contradiction.

From (3.12), there exists $h \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ with $0 \le h \le M$ such that, up to a subsequence, as $m \to \infty$, there holds

$$p_m \rightharpoonup h$$
 weakly* in $L^{\infty}(\Omega)$.

Then from (3.15), there hold

$$p_m |w_m|^{p-2} w_m \rightharpoonup h|w|^{p-2} w$$
 weakly in $L^{p'}(\Omega, |x|^{-(a+1)p+c})$ (3.16)

where p' = p/(p-1), and

$$\int_{\Omega} |x|^{-(a+1)p+c} p_m |w_m|^p dx \to \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-(a+1)p+c} h|w|^p dx. \tag{3.17}$$

On the other hand, from (3.9) and (3.10), there hold

$$||w_m||_X^p = \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-(a+1)p+c} p_m |w_m|^p dx + o(1)$$
(3.18)

and

$$\int_{\Omega} |x|^{-ap} |Dw_m|^{p-2} Dw_m \cdot Dv \, dx - \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-(a+1)p+c} p_m |w_m|^{p-2} w_m v \, dx = o(1), \quad (3.19)$$

for all $v \in X$, Therefore, from (3.16)–(3.19), there hold

$$||w_m||_X^p = \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-(a+1)p+c} h|w|^p dx + o(1)$$
(3.20)

and

$$\int_{\Omega} |x|^{-ap} |Dw_m|^{p-2} Dw_m \cdot Dv \, dx = \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-(a+1)p+c} h|w|^{p-2} wv \, dx + o(1), \quad (3.21)$$

for all $v \in X$. It follows from (3.20) and (3.21) that

$$\int_{\Omega} |x|^{-ap} (|Dw_m|^{p-2} Dw_m - |Dw|^{p-2} Dw) \cdot (Dw_m - Dw) \, dx \to 0$$

as $m \to \infty$, which gives that

$$Dw_m \to Dw$$
 in $L^p(\Omega, |x|^{-ap})$.

Thus w satisfies

$$\int_{\Omega} |x|^{-ap} |Dw|^{p-2} Dw \cdot Dv \, dx - \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-(a+1)p+c} h|w|^{p-2} wv \, dx = 0, \qquad (3.22)$$

for all $v \in X$. Let

$$\Omega^{+} = \{x \in \Omega : w(x) > 0\},\$$

$$\Omega^{0} = \{x \in \Omega : w(x) = 0\},\$$

$$\Omega^{-} = \{x \in \Omega : w(x) < 0\}.$$

Then $u_m(x) \to +\infty$ as $m \to \infty$ if $x \in \Omega^+$, and $u_m(x) \to -\infty$ as $m \to \infty$ if $x \in \Omega^-$. From assumption (f₃), h(x) = l for all $x \in \Omega^+ \cup \Omega^-$. Thus (3.22) implies that w satisfies

$$\int_{\Omega} |x|^{-ap} |Dw|^{p-2} Dw \cdot Dv \, dx = l \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-(a+1)p+c} |w|^{p-2} wv \, dx, \ \forall v \in X.$$
 (3.23)

This means that l is an eigenvalue of problem (2.5), which contradicts our assumption, so $\{u_m\}$ is bounded in X.

ii). Suppose l is an eigenvalue of problem (2.5), we need the additional assumption (f_5).

From assumption (f_5) , there exists $T_0 > 0$ such that

$$f(t)t - pF(t) \ge 0$$
 for all $|t| \ge T_0$

and there exists $C_0 = C_0(T_0) > 0$ such that

$$\int_{\{|u_m| \le T_0\}} |x|^{-(a+1)p+c} [f(u_m)u_m - pF(u_m)] dx \ge -C_0.$$
 (3.24)

Furthermore, under assumptions (f_1) - (f_3) , there exists M > 0 such that

$$|f(t)| \le M|t|^{p-1}, |F(t)| \le \frac{M}{p}|t|^p, \forall t \in R.$$
 (3.25)

Let S > 0 be the best embedding constant such that

$$\left(\int_{\Omega} |x|^{-(a+1)p+c} |u|^{p_*} dx\right)^{p/p_*} \le S \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-ap} |Du|^p dx, \ \forall u \in X.$$
 (3.26)

Let $K = (dp + C_0)(2MS)^{(n-(a+1)p)/c+1}$ where d is defined by (3.7), C_0 by (3.24), M by (3.25) and S by (3.26). From assumption (f_5) , there exists a $T = T(K) > T_0 > 0$ such that

$$f(t)t - pF(t) \ge K$$
, for all $|t| > T$. (3.27)

For the above T > 0 and each $m \ge 1$, set

$$A_m = \{(x, y) \in \Omega : |u_m(x, y)| \ge T\}, \ B_m = \{(x, y) \in \Omega : |u_m(x, y)| \le T\}.$$

From estimates (3.24), (3.7), (3.9) and (3.27), there holds

$$dp + o(1) = \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-(a+1)p+c} [f(u_m)u_m - pF(u_m)] dx$$

$$\geq \int_{A_m} |x|^{-(a+1)p+c} [f(u_m)u_m - 2F(u_m)] dx - C_0$$

$$\geq KC(A_m) - C_0,$$
(3.28)

where $C(A_m) := \int_{A_m} |x|^{-(a+1)p+c} dx$, noting that $a < (n-p)/p, \ c > 0$.

On the other hand, for any fixed r > p, from (3.7) and (3.9), there holds

$$\left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{r}\right) \|u_m\|_X^p - \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-(a+1)p+c} \left[F(u_m) - \frac{1}{r}f(u_m)u_m\right] dx = d + o(1). \tag{3.29}$$

Since Ω is bounded and $f(t) \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$, there exists a constant $C = C(\Omega, f, T)$ such that

$$\left| \int_{B_m} |x|^{-(a+1)p+c} \left[F(u_m) - \frac{1}{r} f(u_m) u_m \right] dx \right| \le C, \text{ for all } m \ge 1.$$
 (3.30)

Then, from (3.27)-(3.30) and Hölder inequality, there holds

$$d + o(1) \geq \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{r}\right) \|u_m\|_X^p - C - \int_{A_m} |x|^{-(a+1)p+c} [F(u_m) - \frac{1}{r} f(u_m) u_m] dx$$

$$\geq \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{r}\right) \|u_m\|_X^p - C - \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{r}\right) \int_{A_m} |x|^{-(a+1)p+c} f(u_m) u_m dx$$

$$\geq \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{r}\right) \|u_m\|_X^p - C - \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{r}\right) M \int_{A_m} |x|^{-(a+1)p+c} |u_m|^p dx$$

$$\geq \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{r}\right) \|u_m\|_X^p - C - \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{r}\right) M \|u_m\|_{p_*}^p (C(A_m))^{c/[n-(a+1)p+c]}$$

$$\geq \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{r}\right) \|u_m\|_X^p - C - \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{r}\right) M S \|u_m\|_X^p \left(\frac{dp+C_0}{K} + o(1)\right)^{c/[n-(a+1)p+c]}$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{r}\right) \|u_m\|_X^p - C - \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{r}\right) M S \|u_m\|_X^p o(1),$$

that is, $\{u_m\}$ is bounded in X.

iii). Suppose that assumptions (f'_3) and (f_4) hold. To prove the boundedness of (C) sequence $\{u_m\}$, we need Lemma 3.2. Set

$$t_m = \frac{(2dp)^{1/p}}{\|u_m\|_X}, \ w_m = t_m u_m = \frac{(2dp)^{1/p} \ u_m}{\|u_m\|_X}.$$
 (3.31)

Then $||w_m||_X = (2dp)^{1/p}$ and $\{w_m\}$ is bounded in X. Hence, up to a subsequence, we may assume that: there exists $w \in X$ such that estimates (3.13)-(3.15) also hold in this case. If $||u_m||_X \to \infty$, we claim that

$$w(x) \not\equiv 0. \tag{3.32}$$

In fact, if $w(x) \equiv 0$ in Ω , then (3.31) and estimates (3.13)-(3.15) imply that

$$\int_{\Omega} |x|^{-(a+1)p+c} F(w_m) dx \to 0 \text{ and } E(w_m) = 2dp + o(1).$$
 (3.33)

However, applying Lemma 3.2 with $t = (2dp)^{1/p}/\|u_m\|_X \to 0$, there holds

$$E(w_m) \le \frac{1+|t|^p}{mp} + E(u_m) \to d,$$

which contradicts (3.33), thus (3.32) holds.

On the other hand, similar to case i), (3.18) holds. Let

$$\bar{\Omega} = \Omega \setminus \{ x \in \Omega : w(x) = 0 \}.$$

Then $|\bar{\Omega}| > 0$ by (3.32). From assumptions (f_2) , (f_3') and (f_4) , $p_m(x) \geq 0$ and $p_m(x) \to \infty$ as $m \to \infty$ in $\bar{\Omega}$, where p_m is defined by (3.11). Hence, from (3.18),

there holds

$$2dp = \liminf_{m \to \infty} \|w_m\|_X^p = \liminf_{m \to \infty} \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-(a+1)p+c} p_m(x) |w_m|^p dx$$

$$\geq \liminf_{m \to \infty} \int_{\bar{\Omega}} |x|^{-(a+1)p+c} p_m(x) |w_m|^p dx$$

$$\geq \int_{\bar{\Omega}} \liminf_{m \to \infty} |x|^{-(a+1)p+c} p_m(x) |w_m|^p dx = \infty,$$

which is a contradiction, thus $||u_m|| \neq \infty$, that is, up to a subsequence, $\{u_m\}$ is bounded in X.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. We shall prove this theorem by verifying the assumptions of the Symmetric Mountain Pass Lemma-Lemma 2.2.

1. There exists $\rho > 0$, $\alpha > 0$ such that E(u) > 0 in $B(0, \rho) \setminus \{0\}$ and $E|_{\partial B(0, \rho)} \ge \alpha$. In fact, in each case, assumptions (f_1) - (f_3) or (f'_3) imply that $E \in C^1(X, \mathbb{R})$ and for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $C_{\varepsilon} > 0$ such that, for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, there holds

$$|f(t)| \le \varepsilon |t|^{p-1} + C_{\varepsilon} |t|^{r-1}, \quad |F(t)| \le \varepsilon |t|^p + C_{\varepsilon} |t|^r, \tag{3.34}$$

from which, it is easy to see that there exists $\rho > 0$, $\alpha > 0$ such that E(u) > 0 in $B(0,\rho) \setminus \{0\}$ and $E|_{\partial B(0,\rho)} \ge \alpha$.

2. By the Symmetric Mountain Pass Lemma-Lemma 2.2, to prove Theorem 3.1, it suffices to prove that for any $k \geq 1$, there exists a k-dimensional subspace X_k of X and $R_k > 0$ such that

$$E(u) \le 0$$
, for all $u \in X_k \setminus B_{R_k}$, (3.35)

where B_{R_k} is the ball in X with radius R_k .

First, we prove (3.35) in the case $l \in (\lambda_k, +\infty)$. Since $l > \lambda_k$, there is $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $l-\varepsilon > \lambda_k$. By the definition of λ_k , there exists a k-dimensional subspace X_k of X such that, for the above $\varepsilon > 0$, there holds

$$\sup_{u \in X_k \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\Psi(u)}{J(u)} \le \lambda_k + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} < l - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \tag{3.36}$$

that is,

$$\inf_{u \in X_k \setminus \{0\}} \frac{J(u)}{\Psi(u)} > \frac{1}{l - \varepsilon/2}.$$
(3.37)

On the other hand, by assumption (f_3) , there holds

$$\lim_{|u| \to \infty} \frac{F(u)}{|u|^p} = \frac{l}{p}.$$
(3.38)

Then, for the above $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists M > 0 large enough such that

$$\frac{F(u)}{|u|^p} > \frac{1}{p}(l - \frac{\varepsilon}{4}), \text{ for all } |u| > M.$$
(3.39)

Therefore, if $u \in X_k$ with ||u|| = R, there holds

$$E(u) = \frac{1}{p} R^{p} - \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-(a+1)p+c} F(u) dx$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{p} R^{2} - \int_{|u|>M} |x|^{-(a+1)p+c} F(u) dx - C(M, \Omega)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{p} R^{2} - \frac{1}{2} (l - \frac{\varepsilon}{4}) \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-(a+1)p+c} |u|^{p} dx - C(M, \Omega)$$

$$= \frac{R^{2}}{p} \left[1 - (l - \frac{\varepsilon}{4}) \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-(a+1)p+c} \frac{|u|^{p}}{R^{p}} dx \right] - C(M, \Omega)$$

$$\leq \frac{R^{p}}{p} \left(1 - \frac{l - \varepsilon/4}{l - \varepsilon/2} \right) - C(M, \Omega)$$

$$< 0,$$
(3.40)

if $R \ge R_k$ and $R_k > 0$ large enough.

If $l = +\infty$, similarly to (3.37), for any $k \ge 1$, there exists X_k such that

$$\inf_{u \in X_k \setminus \{0\}} \frac{J(u)}{\Psi(u)} > \frac{1}{\lambda_k + 1/2},$$

and, similarly to (3.39), it follows from assumption (f'_3) and $l = +\infty$ that there exists $M_k > 0$ such that

$$\frac{F(u)}{|u|^p} > \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_k + 1)$$
, for all $|u| > M_k$.

Then, if $u \in X_k$ with ||u|| = R, there holds

$$E(u) \le \frac{R^p}{p} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda_k + 1}{\lambda_k + 1/2} \right) - C(M_k, k, \Omega) < 0,$$

if $R \ge R_k$ and $R_k > 0$ large enough.

References

[1] A. Ambrosetti, H. Brezis & G. Cerami, Combined effects of concave and convex nonlinearities in some elliptic problems, J. Funct. Anal., Vol. 122(1994), P519-543.

- [2] A. Ambrosetti & P. H. Rabinowitz, Dual variational methods in critical point theory and applications, J. Funct. Anal., Vol. 14(1973), P349-381.
- [3] P. Bartolo, V. Benci & D. Fortunato, Abstract critical theorems and applications to some noninear problems with "strong" resonance at infinity, Nolinear Anal., Vol. 7(1983), P981-1012.
- [4] H. Brezis& L. Nirenberg, Positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations involving critical Sobolev exponents, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., Vol. 36(1983), P437–477.
- [5] L. Caffarrelli, R. Kohn & L. Nirenberg, First order interpolation inequalities with weights, Compositio Mathematica, Vol. **53**(1984), PP259-275.
- [6] K. S. Chou & C. W. Chu, On the best constant for a weighted Sobolev-Hardy inequality, J. London Math. Soc., Vol. 2(1993), 137-151.
- [7] D. G. Costa& C. A. Magalhaes, Existence results for perturbations of the p-Laplacian, Nonlinear Analysis, TMA, Vol. 24(1995), No.3, P409–418.
- [8] Cuesta M., On the Fučik spectrum of the Laplacian and p-Laplacian, Proceedings of 2000 Seminar in Differential Equations, Kvilda (Czech Republic), to appear.
- [9] Drabek P. & Robinson S., Resonance problems for the p-Laplacian, J. of Funct. Anal., Vol. **169**(1999), 189-200.
- [10] N. Ghoussoub& C. Yuan, Multiple solutions for quasilinear PDEs involving the critical Sobolev and Hardy exponents, Trans. of Amer. Math. Soc., 352(12)(2000), P5703–5743.
- [11] M. Guedda& L. Veron, Quasilinear elliptic equations involving critical Sobolev exponents, Nonlinear Analysis, TMA, Vol. 13(1989), P879–902.
- [12] Y.-X. Huang, Positive solutions of certain elliptic equations inlyolving critical Sobolev exponents, Nonlinear Analysis, Vol. 33(1998), P617–636.
- [13] G.-B. Li & H.-S. Zhou, Multiple solutions to p-Laplacian problems with asymptotic nonlinearity as u^{p-1} at infinity, J. London Math. Soc., Vol. 65(2002), P123-138.
- [14] X.-P. Zhu & J.-F. Yang, The quasilinear elliptic equation on unbounded domain involving critical Sobolev exponent, J. Partial Diff. Eqns, Vol. 2(1988), P53-64.

- [15] X.-P. Zhu & J.-F. Yang, Nontrivial solution of quasilinear elliptic equation on unbounded domain involving critical Sobolev exponent, Scientia Sinica, Vol. 3(1988).
- [16] B.-J. Xuan, Multiple solutions to p-Laplacian equation with singular and cylindrical symmetry, Nonlinear Analysis, TMA, 55(2003)217-232.
- [17] Xuan B.-J., The eigenvalue problem of a singular quasilinear elliptic equation, Electronic Journal of Differential Equations, Vol. 2004(2004), No. 16, pp1-11.
- [18] Xuan B.-J., The solvability of Brezis-Nirenberg type problems of singular quasilinear elliptic equation, submitted to JDE, arXiv:math.AP/0403549.

Benjin Xuan
Department of Mathematics
Universidad Nacional de Colombia
Bogotá, Colombia
and
University of Science and Technology of China
Hefei, Anhui, 230026

e-mail: bjxuan@matematicas.unal.edu.co, wenyuanxbj@yahoo.com